REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The rejections presented in the Office Action dated January 30, 2006 (hereinafter Office Action) have been considered. Claims 1-39 remain pending in the application. Reconsideration of the pending claims and allowance of the application in view of the present response is respectfully requested.

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's allowance of claims 14 and 24 and the Examiner's indication that claims 9, 12, 13 and 15 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Claims 1-8, 10, 11, 16-23 and 25-39 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,167,450 to *Angwin et al.* (hereinafter "Angwin").

Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's characterization of Angwin and the contention that Angwin anticipates these claims. Applicants respectfully assert that several features recited in claims 1-8, 10, 11, 16-23 and 25-39 are not disclosed in Angwin.

To anticipate a claim, the asserted reference must clearly and unequivocally disclose every element of the claimed invention. A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference. The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the claim. All claim elements, and their limitations, must be found in the prior art reference to maintain a rejection based on 35 U.S.C. §102.

Independent claims 1, 17, 25, 32, and 35 of the currently pending application are related to an approach to provide requested reference information to a user via a mobile terminal. After the user enters a request identifier (*e.g.,* a word to be language translated), it is determined whether the information requested is locally stored at the wireless terminal. If the information is locally stored, the information is retrieved from local storage and is presented to the user. If the information is not locally stored, a connection is established between the wireless terminal and a data server. The information is obtained from the data server and the information is presented to the user.

11

In contrast to Applicants' invention, as recited in independent claims 1, 17, 25, 32, and 35, Angwin does not teach or suggest locally storing information on the mobile terminal. Angwin also does not teach or suggest establishing a connection between the data server and the wireless terminal if the data is not locally stored and obtaining the information from the data server.

Angwin teaches a data communications management system that enables the use of conventional network applications to facilitate mobile communications. Angwin describes a call manager that intercepts and analyzes all communication calls from applications on the local system and redirects them to an appropriate call handler. The call handler then performs functions transparently impersonating the remote target of the communication. (See, for example, the Abstract of Angwin).

Unlike claims 1, 17, 25, 32, and 35 of the instant application, Angwin's approach does not involve storing information at the wireless terminal. The Examiner points to the Abstract, Fig. 3 and col. 8 lines 32-67 as teaching this limitation. At col. 8 lines 32-67 of Angwin describes a call handler that locally caches file structures of databases which are regularly accessed. However, the call handler described by Angwin is not incorporated in the remote terminal. Fig. 3 does not show that the call handler is a remote terminal. The call handler is a local device, a component of the communications management system, that **impersonates the remote target of the communication,** and thus is not itself the remote target (wireless terminal). (Abstact; col. 7 lines 64-66) The call handler "serves as a proxy for the remote target, performing operations on behalf of the target such that it appears to the sender system that a dedicated communication session exists with the target." (col. 3 lines 62-65) The ftp procedures as taught by Angwin involves "spoofing" wherein the ftp procedures including local caching are performed locally (by the call handler) while appearing to the application to be generated remotely. (col. 8 lines 39-42).

Angwin does not teach or suggest storing data at the wireless terminal as recited in independent claims 1, 17, 25, 32, and 35. Furthermore, Angwin does not teach or suggest searching for the information on the wireless terminal, and, if the information is not found at the wireless terminal establishing a connection between the wireless terminal and a data

12

server and searching for information at the data server. Angwin does not teach this limitation. Instead, Angwin teaches a local call handler.

For at least these reasons, independent claims 1, 17, 25, 32, and 35 of the instant application are not anticipated by Angwin. Dependent claims 1-8, 10, 11, 16-23, 26-31, 33, and 34-39 are also not anticipated by Angwin, as these claims depend from base claims 1, 17, 25, 32, or 35 that are patentably distinct for reasons discussed above.

Authorization is given to charge Deposit Account No. 50-3581 (NOKV.007PA) any necessary fees for this filing. If the Examiner believes it necessary or helpful, the undersigned attorney of record invites the Examiner to contact her at to discuss any issues related to this case.

Respectfully submitted,

HOLLINGSWORTH & FUNK, LLC
8009 34th Avenue South, Suite 125
Minneapolis, MN 55425
952.854.2700

Date: 5/1/2006   By:

Clara Davis
Reg. No. 50,495

13